S-17

Dear Sylvia,

3/13/78

Jim worked until 3 a.m. He then got up to catch the Epsteinker on CNA. We talked thereafter so I know he was going to send you copies of his reply brief (which I regard as magnificent) and of the Briggs affidavit of which I wrote youearlier.

While I do not know if he also wrote you I am certain that he could not have written you fully.

This is a beginning, not an end. My perhaps optimistic view is that it can mark a turning point. I'm not saying absolutely. I'm merely suggesting a possibility, without giving odds. Who can predict courts?

But because of the potential I believe that fullness of information at Jim's command may be significant.

I am under a court order to perform work in which I am already behind. I cannot go out and get the drek and select for Jim what I think he'll want to have available. His court time pressures preclude his doing it.

So, if you will regard his brief as an indication rather than as a limitation and realize that we are making a direct, frontal assault upon the CIA with its Epsteinking frauds I hope you will see the potential importance and that you can find time for informing us.However you can and about whatever you can.

Knowing the essence of the fraudulent representations perhaps you will recall enough proofs of such frauds from the book to be able to refer one of us to them. If you can do this by page I'll get the book and my wife will copy those pages for Jim.

The repetition of the proofs will be helpful. Jim limited himself to one citation of the New York interviews to keep the brief short. In time we'll want to itemize all of these so there can be no claim of careless error and to build a powerful case.

If you did not catch the CNA show this morning and want a tape I can supply it. While for the mass audience Ep may have been effective I doubt he was with those who think in response to Bell's rather modest questioning. The question I wanted asked most of all, how could he not look into the possibility of a CIA connection, received a childish answer that does not survive examination. Who could believe that the KGB had a better chance to recruit Oswald than the CIA, which he said lacked the shot at him!

The one reaction I've had is from a college professor. Not typical but he felt the questions and answers did not make Ep. look good. He looked uneasy to me.

Thanks for anything you may be able to do.

Sincerely,